

Midterm Solutions

Part A - Multiple Choice

1) B 2) D 3) D 4) B 5) C

Part B - True/False

6. TRUE

Since the model includes an intercept, the orthogonality condition $X'e = 0$ ensures that the regression line passes through the sample means of the data, \bar{y} and \bar{X} . Hence, when $X = \bar{X}$, $\hat{y} = \bar{X}b = \bar{y}$. You do not need to run an OLS regression, you simply need to calculate \bar{y} .

7. FALSE

Including an irrelevant regressor: lose efficiency under OLS.

Excluding a relevant regressor: lose unbiasedness and consistency.

Since consistency is usually considered the most important property, exclusion is generally considered to be more costly.

8. TRUE/FALSE

We can write R^2 as:

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{e'e}{y'Moy}, \text{ which is a decreasing function in } e'e.$$

Since minimizing $e'e$ is the objective of OLS, including an extra variable is akin to relaxing a constraint in the optimization problem. The objective function $e'e$ must be smaller, or at least the same, when a constraint is relaxed.

9. TRUE

$$e = y - \hat{y} = X\beta + \varepsilon - Xb$$

Under our standard assumptions, $\text{plim}(b) = \beta$.

By Slutsky's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{plim}(e) &= \text{plim}(X\beta) + \text{plim}(\varepsilon) - \text{plim}(Xb) \\ &= X\beta + \varepsilon - X\beta = \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

10. FALSE

This model can't be estimated by OLS, but not because A.5 has been violated. In this model, there is perfect multicollinearity between M and F . Specifically, $M = 1 - F$. The assumption of full rank has been violated - $(X'X)$ can't be inverted and b can't be calculated.

11. FALSE.

$\text{plim}(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$ is a sufficient, but not necessary condition for the weak consistency of $\hat{\beta}$. If instead we can show that $\hat{\beta}$ is Mean-Square consistent for β , then we also have weak consistency, since the former implies the latter. In order to show M.S. consistency we need to show that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\text{bias}(\hat{\beta}) \rightarrow 0$ and $\text{var}(\hat{\beta}) \rightarrow 0$.

Part C

- 12) If there is any correlation between X_1 and X_2 , then
- omitting X_2 will cause b_1 to be biased. This is because part of the effect of X_2 on y is attributed to X_1 .

Now, the true value of β_3 is zero. If X_1 and X_2 are correlated, and X_1 and X_3 are correlated, then in general $E(b_3) \neq 0$. This is because part of the omitted effect of X_2 is passing through X_1 to X_3 . b_3 will also be biased if X_2 and X_3 are correlated directly.

Under the fitted model:

$$b_1 = (X_1' M_3 X_1)^{-1} X_1' M_3 y, \text{ where } M_3 = I - X_3 (X_3' X_3)^{-1} X_3'$$

$$b_1 = \underbrace{(X_1' M_3 X_1)^{-1} X_1' M_3 X_1}_{I} \beta_1 + (X_1' M_3 X_1)^{-1} X_1' M_3 X_2 \beta_2 + (X_1' M_3 X_1)^{-1} X_1' M_3 \varepsilon$$

$$E(b_1) = \beta_1 + (X_1' M_3 X_1)^{-1} X_1' M_3 X_2 \beta_2 + 0.$$

So, b_1 is biased since $E(b_1) \neq \beta_1$, in general.

Similarly,

$$b_3 = (X_3' M_1 X_3)^{-1} X_3' M_1 X_1 \beta_1 + (X_3' M_1 X_3)^{-1} X_3' M_1 X_2 \beta_2 + (X_3' M_1 X_3)^{-1} X_3' M_1 \varepsilon.$$

Since $M_1 X_1 = 0$, the first term is zero, and:

$$E(b_3) = (X_3' M_1 X_3)^{-1} X_3' M_1 X_2 \beta_2.$$

Since $E(b_3) \neq 0$, b_3 is biased, in general.

b) In order for b_1 to be unbiased, X_1 and X_2 must be uncorrelated ($X_1' X_2 = 0$). In addition, either X_1 and X_3 must be uncorrelated ($X_1' X_3 = 0$) OR ($X_2' X_3 = 0$).

The bias of b_1 is coming from the term:

$(X_1' M_3 X_1)^{-1} X_1' M_3 X_2 \beta_2$. Substituting in for M_3 :

$$(X_1' X_1 - X_1' X_3 (X_3' X_3)^{-1} X_3' X_1)^{-1} [X_1' X_2 - X_1' X_3 (X_3' X_3)^{-1} X_3' X_2] \beta_2$$

This bias term only disappears when $X_1' X_2 = 0$ and either $X_1' X_3 = 0$ or $X_2' X_3 = 0$.

As for b_3 , $E(b_3) = 0$ when $X_3' X_2 = 0$ and either $X_3' X_1 = 0$ or $X_1' X_2 = 0$.

c) Efficiency can go either way. We have including an irrelevant regressor which causes $\text{var}(b)$ to increase, but have excluded a variable which causes $\text{var}(b)$ to decrease.

We can't determine under which model the OLS estimators would have smaller variance, until we calculate the $(X'X)^{-1}$ matrix.

13. i) Fit the model, $X = Z\theta + \varepsilon$, ~~XXXXXX~~, get $\hat{\theta}$ by OLS.

$$\text{Then, } \hat{X} = \overset{Z\hat{\theta}}{\cancel{X}} = Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'X.$$

ii) Estimating β by OLS in the following:

$$y = \hat{X}\beta + u$$

gives:

$$\begin{aligned} b &= (\hat{X}'\hat{X})^{-1}\hat{X}'y = (X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'X)^{-1}X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'y \\ &= (X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'X)^{-1}X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'y \end{aligned}$$

Which is b_{IV} in the over-identified case.

14. a) If $E(\tilde{\theta}) = \frac{m-j}{m}\theta$,

$$\text{then } E\left(\frac{m}{m-j}\tilde{\theta}\right) = \theta.$$

If we construct a new estimator:

$$\theta^* = \frac{m}{m-j}\tilde{\theta},$$

then θ^* will be unbiased.

b) An example of where this strategy has been employed is in the estimation of σ^2 .

If we use a "natural" estimator $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{e'e}{n}$,

we find that $E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \frac{n-k}{n} \sigma^2$. If we

instead use $\frac{n}{n-k} \hat{\sigma}^2$, we get an unbiased estimator, i.e. s^2 .

$$15. a) \bar{R}^2 = 1 - (1 - R^2) \frac{n-1}{n-k} = 1 - (0.886142) \frac{427}{425} = 0.109688$$

$$t\text{-stat} = \frac{0.317758}{0.178941} = \cancel{0.1776} 1.776$$

$$b) H_0: \beta_1 = 0 \quad H_A: \beta_1 \neq 0$$

The t -stat has been calculated in (a). The relevant p -value is reported in EViews output as 0.0765

At the 1% and 5% significance levels I fail to reject, but at the 10% level I reject.

$$c) [1.28 - 1.96 \times 0.18, 1.28 + 1.96 \times 0.18] = 0.927, 1.633$$

If I were to repeatedly resample, the true value (β_2) would lie in this interval 95% of the time.