5.2 Hypothesis testing

We'll begin this section by looking at the variance of the OLS slope estimator $(Var[b_1])$. There are three reasons to get this formula:

- 1. Looking at it will provide insight into what determines the accuracy (a smaller variance) of the estimator.
- 2. It is required to prove that OLS is an efficient estimator, and therefore is BLUE.
- 3. It is needed for hypothesis testing.
- In Chap. 3, derived the var. of \overline{y}
- Similarly, b_1 is a random variable, it has a variance
- Too difficult to derive for this course.

$$\operatorname{Var}[b_1] = \frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2}{\sum X_i^2 - \frac{\left(\sum X_i\right)^2}{n}}$$

- $\operatorname{Var}[b_1]$ decreases as n increases.
- $\operatorname{Var}[b_1]$ decreases as the sample variation in X increases.
- Var $[b_1]$ decreases as variation in ϵ decreases.

We want our estimator to have as low a variance as possible! A lower variance means that, on average, we have a higher probability of being close to the "rights answer" (provided the estimator is unbiased). These factors that lead to a lower $Var[b_1]$ make sense:

- If we have more information (larger n), it should be "easier" to pick the right regression line.
- Since we are using changes in X to try to explain changes in Y, the bigger changes in X that we observe, the easier it is to pick the regression line.
- The less unobservable changes there are (in ϵ that are causing changes in Y, the easier it is to pick the regression line.

Gauss-Markhov Theorem

OLS is efficient. G-M theorem says it has lowest variance among all possible linear unbiased estimators for β . That is, OLS is

B.L.U.E.

The G-M theorem is not highlighted as much in the text as it should be. It is very important!

Test-stats and CIs

 $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_{1,0}$ $H_A: \beta_1 \neq \beta_{1,0}$

A common hypothesis in economics is where the marginal effect is zero (X does not cause Y), so that the above null and alternative become:

 $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$ $H_A: \beta_1 \neq 0$

As in chapter 3, we will begin with the z-test. In general, the z-statistic is determined by:

$$z-\text{statistic} = \frac{\text{estimate} - \text{value of } H_0}{\sqrt{\text{Var}\left[\text{estimator}\right]}}$$
(5.8)

Population mean (chapter 3):

$$z = \frac{\bar{y} - \mu_{Y,0}}{\sqrt{\sigma_Y^2/n}}$$

Slope estimator, β_1 :

$$z = \frac{b_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left[b_1\right]}}$$

Recall that the problem with the z-test (chap. 3) was that the variance of *Y* was unknown. Now, we have a similar problem, the variance of ϵ is unknown in the equation:

$$\operatorname{Var}[b_1] = \frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2}{\sum X_i^2 - \frac{\left(\sum X_i\right)^2}{n}}$$

How to estimate it?

Recall that the population model is:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \epsilon_i,$$

and that the estimated model is:

$$Y_i = b_0 + b_1 X_i + e_i$$

Each unobservable part in the population model $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \epsilon_i)$ has an observable counter-part in the estimated model. So, if we want to know something about ϵ we can use e. In fact, an estimator for the variance of ϵ is the *sample variance* of the OLS residuals:

$$s_{\epsilon}^{2} = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (e_{i} - \bar{e})^{2} = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}$$
(5.9)

Why is the -2 in the denominator of equation 5.9? Recall that, in chapter 3, when we wanted to estimate σ_y^2 we used the sample variance of y:

$$s_y^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$

The estimator for the variance of b_1 is now:

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Var}[b_1]} = \frac{s_{\epsilon}^2}{\sum X_i^2 - \frac{\left(\sum X_i\right)^2}{n}}$$

And now, the *t*-statistic for testing β_1 is obtained by substituting Var $[b_1]$ for Var $[b_1]$ in the *z*-statistic formula:

$$t = \frac{b_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[b_1]}}$$
(5.10)

The denominator of 5.10 is often called the *standard error* of b_1 (like a standard deviation), and equation 5.10 is often written instead as:

$$t = \frac{b_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\text{s.e.}[b_1]} \tag{5.11}$$

If the null hypothesis is true, the *t*-statistic in equation 5.11 follows a *t*-distribution with degrees of freedom (n - k), where *k* is the number of β s we have estimated (two). To obtain a *p*-value we should use the *t*distribution, however, if *n* is large, then the *t*-statistic follows the standard Normal distribution. For the purposes of this course, we shall always assume that *n* is large enough such that $t \sim N(0, 1)$. To obtain a *p*-value, we can use the same table that we used at the end of chapter 3 (see Table 3.2).

5.2.3 Confidence intervals

Confidence intervals are obtained very similarly to how they were in chapter 3. The 95% confidence interval for b_1 is:

$$b_1 \pm 1.96 \times \text{s.e.} [b_1]$$
 (5.12)

The 95% confidence interval can be interpreted as follows: (i) if we were to construct many such intervals (hypothetically), 95% of them would contain the true value of β_1 ; (ii) all of the values that we could choose for $\beta_{1,0}$ that we would fail to reject at the 5% significance level.

We can get the 90% confidence interval by changing the 1.96 in equation 5.12 to 1.65, and the 99% C.I. by changing it to 2.58, for example.